

Crocker Middle

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fq/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Dr. Jamie Adams, Principal

 Principal, Crocker Middle

About Our School

Dear Crocker Families,

My greatest hope for Crocker is for joy to be at the center of learning experiences for students, staff, and parents. Participating in your children's pursuit of a good education is a privilege I do not take lightly. Students will need persistence, perseverance, hard work, a willingness to assume an appropriate level of risk, and the courage to accept failures as stepping stones for their bright future. Now is the time for exploration and discovery of their interests and individuality, and with that in mind, wonderment and awe should be witnessed daily.

As Crocker Principal, I wish to support student goals and objectives in a safe environment where all students can develop the strengths and talents unique to them as individuals. Our goal is to foster a climate where respect for the process of learning is upheld by honoring the diversity of learning styles present in our students. We are all different and for that I am extremely grateful. We must partner to appreciate our differences and celebrate the things natural and appropriate for middle school students.

As we travel through these middle years together, assumptions should be left at the door and our minds should be open to the endless possibilities each child might realize. Our ability to promote academic excellence ultimately relies upon our commitment to meet the needs of each learner, regardless of his or her level of proficiency. Every Crocker student should be celebrated for who they are and who they are becoming as young adults.

Enjoy the pursuit,
Jamie Adams, Ed.D.
Crocker Principal

Contact

Crocker Middle
2600 Raiston Ave.
Hillsborough, CA 94010-6544

Phone: 650-342-6331
E-mail: jadams@hcsd.k12.ca.us

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

District Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)	
District Name	Hillsborough City Elementary
Phone Number	(650) 342-5193
Superintendent	Anthony Ranii
E-mail Address	aranii@hcsd.k12.ca.us
Web Site	www.hcsd.k12.ca.us

School Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)	
School Name	Crocker Middle
Street	2600 Ralston Ave.
City, State, Zip	Hillsborough, Ca, 94010-6544
Phone Number	650-342-6331
Principal	Dr. Jamie Adams, Principal
E-mail Address	jadams@hcsd.k12.ca.us
County-District-School (CDS) Code	41689086043707

Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

William H. Crocker Middle School is located in the suburban community of Hillsborough on the San Francisco Peninsula. The residents are primarily professional and business executives. One half of Crocker's students come from families in which both parents work outside of the home. The value of education is evidenced by strong parental involvement and participation in the school community. As of October 2016, the student enrollment was 537 students.

Students take three years of English, mathematics, science, social studies, world language, and physical education. In addition, we offer electives in the arts, music, leadership, and technology. Since we believe that we are helping each child to build the foundation for the rest of his/her life, we offer more than strong academic training. Our curriculum includes skills, techniques, and motivation for lifelong learning, excellence, decision making, leadership, and commitment to others. We believe in educating the whole child. Every aspect of our program is designed to build a close, caring community in which every child and every adult is recognized and respected.


We embrace the Essential Outcomes by valuing creativity, curiosity, initiative multidisciplinary thinking, and empathy.

Crocker was named as a California Distinguished School in 1982, 1988, 1992, 1994, 1999, 2003 and 2007. In 1983, 1989, 1995, and 2004, it was selected as an exemplary school by the National Secondary School Recognition Program. It is one of three middle schools in the nation to have been awarded the National Blue Ribbon four times. In 1993, Crocker was recognized by the Royal Swedish Academy of Engineering Sciences for its managerial practices which fostered excellence. Most recently, Crocker Middle School was recognized by the California Business for Education Excellence in 2014. In 2015 Crocker received the Gold Ribbon Award for their efforts around professional learning teams and collaboration.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	180
Grade 7	185
Grade 8	175
Total Enrollment	540



Last updated: 1/17/2017

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.4 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4 %
Asian	26.3 %
Filipino	1.5 %
Hispanic or Latino	2.8 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2 %
White	65.9 %
Two or More Races	2.6 %
Other	-0.1 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.2 %
English Learners	0.9 %
Students with Disabilities	13.9 %
Foster Youth	0.0 %

Last updated: 1/17/2017

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	36	38	45	132
Without Full Credential	2	2	1	3
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	1	0	12	12



Last updated: 1/17/2017

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	1	1
Total Teacher Misassignments*	1	1	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	98.0%	2.0%
All Schools in District	98.0%	3.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	0.0%	0.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	98.0%	3.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	6th-8th: Amplify ELA California Amplify Education	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	6th Grade Common Core Math: Big Ideas Course 1 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 6th Grade Common Core Math: Big Ideas Advanced 1 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 7th Grade Common Core Math: Big Ideas Course 2 (red) Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 7th Grade Common Core Math: Big Ideas Advanced 2 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 8th Grade Common Core: Big Ideas Accelerated Course 2 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 8th Grade Common Core: Big Ideas Course 3 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015 8th Grade High School Algebra: Big Ideas Algebra 1 Common Core Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2015	Yes	0.0 %
Science	Science CPO Science CPO Adopted 2007	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	Social Studies Holt California Social Studies Holt Rinehart, Winston Adopted 2006	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language	6th Grade: Discovering Chinese Better Chinese Adopted 2015 7th & 8th: Integrated Chinese, Level 1 Textbook, 3rd Edition (Simplified) Cheng and Tsui Company, Inc Adopted 2015 7th & 8th: Integrated Chinese, Level 1 Textbook, 3rd Edition (Traditional) Cheng and Tsui Company, Inc Adopted 2015 Spanish Realidades A, B @2011 Pearson Adopted	Yes	0.0 %
Health	The health standards are addressed through science, PE, and general classroom instruction using Alternative Current and district design materials which support the standards.	No	0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	District developed materials to address and support standards.	No	0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpm (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/18/2017

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The Hillsborough City School District inspects all of its facilities, both buildings and grounds, yearly to determine if any major repairs are needed. The District uses a facility inspection tool developed by the State of California's Office of Public School Instruction. Inspection by Buildings and Grounds personnel of the eight emergency facility needs specified in Ed. Code 17592.72c was last completed September 2015. The results of this facility survey are available at the District Office. In addition, the school district maintains a work order process to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. Work orders can be prepared by a staff member, or suggested by a parent. Work orders are prioritized district-wide by the Supervisor of Buildings and Grounds. The district maintenance staff ensures that repairs necessary to keep the schools in good working order are completed in a timely manner.

Currently, all of our schools employ a full-time day custodian and a full-time night cleaning service. All classrooms, staff rooms and all student and staff restrooms are cleaned, and sanitized nightly. Cleaning schedules and duties are monitored regularly by the sites and the maintenance department to ensure safe and clean schools. On November 5, 2002, Hillsborough voters passed a \$66.8 million Proposition 39 bond measure to finance renovation and new construction projects on all four campuses. The District has modernized, renovated, newly constructed and equipped housing to meet the instructional needs of the students. These funds, along with \$2.8 million in State School Facilities funds, were used to upgrade fire, life, safety, and accessibility standards. In addition to facilities, much needed upgrades were made to the District's telephone and voice mail system.

A new two-story building on the Crocker campus opened at the start of the 2009-10 school year. Funded by Measure B bond funds, the building includes a 95-seat science lecture hall, television studio, band room, two computer classrooms, a regular classroom, restrooms, and storage areas. Also completed was the repavement of the black top. Subsequent projects included renovation of the art and multi-purpose rooms, conversion of the old Lecture Hall into a new Science Lab classroom, paving rehabilitation of the driveway and parking lot, conversion of the old band room into a new teaching space, and improvements made to the gymnasium. During the summer of 2014, due to an increased need for teaching space, a leased portable was installed with Developer Fee funds.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2015

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: October 2015

Overall Rating	Exemplary
----------------	-----------

Last updated: 1/17/2017

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	84.0%	83.0%	85.0%	85.0%	44.0%	48.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	83.0%	83.0%	86.0%	86.0%	34.0%	36.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA- Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	181	179	98.9%	81.6%
Male	95	93	97.9%	80.7%
Female	86	86	100.0%	82.6%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	51	50	98.0%	90.0%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	119	118	99.2%	78.8%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	27	26	96.3%	34.6%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA - Grade 7

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	187	184	98.4%	83.7%
Male	100	98	98.0%	77.6%
Female	87	86	98.9%	90.7%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	38	36	94.7%	91.7%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	129	128	99.2%	82.0%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	30	30	100.0%	36.7%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

ELA - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	176	170	96.6%	83.5%
Male	89	86	96.6%	76.7%
Female	87	84	96.6%	90.5%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	54	53	98.2%	86.8%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	111	107	96.4%	83.2%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	18	18	100.0%	33.3%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 6

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	181	179	98.9%	82.7%
Male	95	93	97.9%	83.9%
Female	86	86	100.0%	81.4%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	51	50	98.0%	94.0%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	119	118	99.2%	78.8%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	27	26	96.3%	34.6%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 7

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	187	184	98.4%	78.8%
Male	100	98	98.0%	77.6%
Female	87	86	98.9%	80.2%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	38	36	94.7%	94.4%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	129	128	99.2%	74.2%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	30	30	100.0%	33.3%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Mathematics - Grade 8

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	176	170	96.6%	89.4%
Male	89	86	96.6%	86.1%
Female	87	84	96.6%	92.9%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	54	53	98.2%	96.2%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	111	107	96.4%	86.9%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	18	18	100.0%	50.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	97.0%	95.0%	97.0%	97.0%	93.0%	93.0%	60.0%	56.0%	54.0%

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

**CAASPP Tests Results in Science by Student Group
Grades Five, Eight and Grade Ten (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
All Students	176	169	96.0%	97.0%
Male	89	85	95.5%	92.9%
Female	87	84	96.6%	101.2%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	54	53	98.2%	98.1%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
White	111	106	95.5%	97.2%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	18	17	94.4%	58.8%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	19.4%	42.2%	24.4%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Opportunities for parental involvement in the district and at the school site are abundant, and our parents have always given generously of their time, attention, and money. Their efforts mean far more than the material benefits they bring; they make our students and teachers feel valued and important. Such feelings contribute directly to our educational excellence.

Every year, parents and other community volunteers contributed thousands of hours directly to Crocker Middle School in the classrooms, in the library, serving on committees, assisting with special projects, and in many other ways. Parent volunteers shelve, check-out and check-in library books. They help with activities and chaperone dances and field trips. Parents as well as community members speak to classes and share their occupational or scientific expertise. Through organizations such as the Parent Group and the School Site Council, parents learn about activities at the school and set goals to raise student achievement and continually improve the school.

At the district level, thousands of additional volunteer hours are given to fund-raising events, sponsored by the Hillsborough Schools Foundation, such as the general campaign, tennis luncheon, dinner dance, and e-Scrip program. The Hillsborough Schools Foundation provides funds annually to the district in order to enhance the already excellent educational programs. These contributions allow us to enrich our student's educational lives, and to be able to continually look for ways to be even better.

Parents and community members have also continuously supported the schools through their votes: In June of 1988 and again in 1992, the community passed parcel tax measures in order to maintain school quality. In November of 2002, the community of Hillsborough passed Measure B, a \$66.8 million dollar school bond measure for the purpose of modernizing, renovating, and adding facilities as needed at our four school sites. Measure B was a Proposition 39 bond requiring a 55% majority to pass. All members of the school community worked hard to inform the voters about the need for the measure. In response, the community showed its strong support for our schools by passing the Measure with a 66.45% majority vote.

Parents are kept well informed about the school through the school newsletters, calendars, web pages, parent group meetings, parent education events, as well as parent conferences. Any parent wishing to contribute time, expertise, or money to the schools has ample opportunity, and should start by contacting the school site parent group president or a member of the Hillsborough School's Foundation. Information is also available on the district website: <http://www.hcsd.k12.ca.us> which has links to all school websites as well as the foundation.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 1/17/2017


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	11.5%	10.7%
Graduation Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

Dropout/Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) Chart





State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.5	0.9	0.4	1.0	0.6	0.3	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1



Last updated: 1/17/2017

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

A safe school plan has been developed at each school site. The plan includes, but is not limited to, information regarding disaster preparation, school safety and violence prevention, general school climate information, and Board policies on discrimination and harassment. A copy of the Emergency Response Plan is available at the school office and at the district office. The Emergency Response Plan was last reviewed by the District in October 2015 and updated annually at each school site.

Each school site uses both certificated and classified staff before school, after school, at recess and lunch times to monitor student behavior and activities. Each school has a plan in place for controlling visitors to the campus, and each school uses a visitors badge for individuals who need to be on campus and are not part of the regular staff. The Hillsborough Police and Fire Departments cooperate closely with schools, helping to keep the campuses safe. Negative events rarely occur. Vandalism seldom occurs.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

(N/A: No Hillsborough Schools are in PI)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not in PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.0%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
1	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
2	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
3	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
4	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
5	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
6	20.0	24	31	0	16.0	33	39	0	22.0		10	
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/18/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	19.0	12	7	0	21.0	8	10	0	22.0	12	14	0
Mathematics	21.0	6	11	0	20.0	3	3	0	21.0	16	10	0
Science	22.0	8	9	0	22.0	9	7	0	21.0	17	9	0
Social Science	22.0	7	10	0	24.0	5	10	0	22.0	10	14	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/18/2017

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.0	N/A
Psychologist	0.6	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.0	N/A
Other	0.1	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/17/2017

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$16398.3	\$5023.9	\$11374.4	\$94530.4
District	N/A	N/A	\$11058.6	\$96663.5
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	2.9%	-2.2%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5677.0	\$71610.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	100.4%	32.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/20/2017

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)



The district expenditures from the general fund provide high quality educational programs for all students, including special populations such as Special Education and English Learners. All basic educational needs such as instructional materials, personnel, staff development, technology, facilities, and maintenance are adequately funded. Federal and state funds are used appropriately as restricted. Through additional funds supplied through the generosity of our parents and the larger community, we are also able to provide lower class sizes, curriculum specialists, foreign language programs, additional counseling services, and other enhancements to programs such as technology.

Last updated: 1/18/2017

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$55,860	\$44,507
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$93,601	\$68,910
Highest Teacher Salary	\$124,184	\$88,330
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$156,036	\$111,481
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$166,767	\$115,435
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$	\$113,414
Superintendent Salary	\$210,000	\$169,821
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	44.0%	39.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0%	6.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 1/17/2017

Professional Development

Staff development and curriculum planning are supported by the administration and encouraged by funding from the district, the Foundation, the Parent Group, and other programs such as the School Improvement Program. Three district-wide staff development days are held throughout each school year to focus on high quality, standards-based staff development. Additionally, summer staff development is offered by the district on a variety of topics, such as the integration of technology into the Common Core Standards, and attendance at intensive in-depth programs and conferences is encouraged as funding allows.

Each year the district offers training to its new teachers before the start of school and continues with specific new teacher support for two full years, including participation in the BTSA (Beginning Teachers Support and Assessment) program. The district also supports and encourages veteran teachers to grow through its

HIP (Hillsborough Incentive Plan) Program, and through providing support for teachers who wish to become Nationally Board Certified. Individual teachers are encouraged to take classes specific to their subject area in order to ensure they meet the requirements for NCLB certification as highly qualified teachers. Compliancy trainings for certificated and classified staff, such as CPR/First Aid, are held as needed. Specialists are also provided training relevant to their assignments, and training for all involved occurs any time new standards or materials are adopted.

By adding instructional minutes on other days, Thursday afternoons are available 1-2 times a month for both school site and district staff development or collaborative work groups. This is in addition to weekly teacher, grade-level, and/or departmental collaborative time. TOSA's (Teachers On Special Assignment) have been instituted District-wide to provide training for classroom teachers in the area of Technology Integration. Web-advisors and Curriculum Advisors also support the furtherance of school site and district wide goals. The administration and staff maintain a mutual support system, with close communication and strong cooperative planning.

As a learning community we are committed to creating a culture for innovation. We are exploring ways to motivate & inspire students to take initiative be creative, and develop a healthy state of curiosity.

Last updated: 12/16/2016